

# Wichita State University

Office of Institutional

**Equity and Compliance** 

**Annual Report** 

Academic Year 2022021

Christine was appointed by the Wisconsin Supreme Court to conduct hearings on complaints of attorney misconduct, petitions alleging attorney incapacity, and petitions for license reinstatement, to make finitings, conclusions of law and recommendations for sanction and submit them to the Supreme Court for review and appropriate action. Christine has developed and conducted Title IX coordinator and investigator training for private and public universities. Shehas developed and conducted Title IX Advisor, Hearing Panel/DeMsiker, and Appeal Officer training in compliance with the new Title IX Regulations.



Mike has served as the Equal Opportuncoordinator for Wichita State University since 2019. He is responsible for reviewing, investigating, and responding to complaints of protected characteristic discrimination and harassment, and ensures compliance with requirements of the Civil Rights AcAmericans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

Mike received his BA is Criminal Justice from the University yoming where he attended on a football scholarshipHe received his Juris Doctorate from the University by forming College of Law and a Master's Degree Public Administration from Wichita State University ike has minors in Sociology and African American Studies, a Graduate Certificate in City/County Management, and professional certification through the ociety for Human Resources Management (SHR-100P).

Prior to coming to Wichita State University, Mike worked in local governmentth bith Sedgwick Count Division of Human Resources as the Employee Relations Spetialists role, heconsulted with admistrators to creat personnel policy, managemployee conduct and performance, improvemployee satisfaction, and was responsible for investigating allegations of protected class discrimination and harassment.



Sara is the Title IX Coordinator for Wichita State University. She receives and responds to all complaints of sex and genderased discrimination and harassment and sexual misconduct within the Wichita State University community and ensured the University is in compliance with federal Title IX requirements.

Sara was born and raised in Wichita. She received her B.A. in History from the University of Kansas, and her Juris Doctorate from the University of Kansas School of Law. She is licensed with the Supreme Court of Kansas and the United States District Court, District of Kansas. Sara is a member of the Kansas Bar Association, Kansas Women Attorneys Association, Wichita Bar Association, chair of the WBA Professional Diversity Committee Persident of the Wichita Women Attorneys Association.

Prior to joining Wichita State University, Sara was an attorney with Kansas Legal Services, working with survivors of domestic and sexual violence to provide holistic legal representation, including asisting with protection orders, family law, criminal process advocacy, housing, and other legal matters arising from a crime. Previously, she was the Staff Attorney and Legal Assistance to Victims Attorney at the Kansas Coalition Against Sexual and Doritetice in Topeka. She was also an attorney in the Hutchinson Kansas Legal Services office and has served as a volunteer victim advocate for the Wichita Area Sexual Assault Center.

OIEGs committed toimplementing informed, effective, **pr**mpt, and equitable policies to address reports of sexual and gender based harassment and violence including, but not limited to, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and statisting ell as other protected characteristic discriminational harassment, and retaliation

The policies referenced in this Annual Report were in effect **dutif** 220-2021. OIEC oversees WSU Poli@y06/Sexual Misconduct, Relationship Violence and Stalking Policy for Employees and Visitor Policy 3.47/Discrimination Review Procedures todents, Employees and Visitors and, Policy 3.19/Prohibition of Retaliation

The primary purpose of OIEC policies is to define, eliminate, premeditremedy the effects of prohibited conduct; identify care, suppowe measuresand reporting options for students and employees; explain the obligations of employees to report prohibited conduct to the procedures the Iniversity follows to thoroughly, equitably, and promptly investigate and resolve reports of prohibited conduct to track and monitor data, identify trends, and patterns, and support University to track and monitor data, identify trends, and patterns, and support University efforts to promote a diverse and inclusive mmunity free from discrimination and harassment.

The following definitions are used to clarify the information in this report. can be found in the	Specific definitions

When a new case is createOIEC tracks the specific allegation prohibited conduct in Maxient Reportsalleging violations of Policy.47 are categorized based on the protected characteristiddentified by the Complainant or Reporter. Reports alleging iolations of Police 3.06 are categorized by the type of sexual misconduct alle @edinplaints alleging retaliation

When OIEC receives a report alleging prohibited conduct, a case is created in Maxient. If a Complainant is identified in the report, OIEC sends the Complainant an outreach letter through Maxient. The outreach letter provides information including politicks, reporting options, supportivemeasures and resources. The outreach letter extends an invitation, offering the Complainant an opportunity to meet with an OIEC staff member to discuss any questions they may have, offeinterim measures and resource, and provide any additional information.

RE

\@# # retrieve/open the outreach letter. Despite follow-up attempts to make contact through the Maxient system, the Complainant does not retrieve/open the letters.

The Complainant meets with OIEC. Although the \@# policy, the Complainant does not want OIEC to review the matter or declines to sign a formal complaint @# # be provided under policy.

The Complainant meets with OIEC. Although the allegations may fall under  $\@\#$   $\@\#$  investigation.  $\@\#$  # provided under policy.

In ca	ases reviewed under 036,	the hearing panel	determėrs, by a	
preponderanceof evi	idence, that the Respond	dent has engaged	in con <b>tha</b> ttviolate	es the
policy.				

OR

In cases reviewed under 437, the investigator determines, by a preponderance of evidence, that the Respondent has engaged in conditional violates the policy.

In cases reviewed under 036, the hearing panel determers, by a preponderance of evidence, that the Responded itd not engage in conduct that violates the policy.

OR

In cases reviewed under 437, the investigator determines, by a preponderance of evidence, that the Respondent did not engage n conduct that violates the policy.

If a student or employee is found responsible for a policy violation after an OIEC investigation, sanctions may be issued. These sanctions range in severity based on the severity of the violation, the context of the violation, and mpact on the Complainant and the campus community. The sanction posedare appropriate to the nature of the violation, and can include, but are not limited to the following lists.

# POSSIBLE SANCTIONS OR CONSEQUENCES FOR STUDENTS

Disciplinary Warning Residence Hall Transfer or Removal

Disciplinary Probation No Contact Order

Suspension Campus and/or Building Ban

Expulsion No Trespass Order

Dismissal Knowledge Attainment Activities

Withholding of Transcripts or Degree
Revocation of Admission and/or Degree
University Privileges
Restorative Activities
Wellness Activities
Reflective Activities

#### POSSIBLE SANCTIONS OR CONSEQUENCES FOR EMPLOYEES

Corrective Action No Contact Order Suspension No Trespass Order

Separation Knowledge Attainment Activities

Job Reassignment. Wellness Activities
Loss of University Privileges Reflective Activities

If OIEC receives a report of conduct that may fall under its policies, the implement appropriate interim measures for the individuals involved and for the larger University community based on the information provide The outreach letter informs the Complainant of interim measures and reporting option Often, Complainants will choose to receive interim

The Sexual Violence Prevention Training subcommitteetudes representation from OIEC. The subcommitteewas tasked withdeveloping a comprehensive exual Violence Prevention

The Committee meets monthly to discuspidates in case lawndregulations ongoing efforts to promote a campus culture of respect and responsibiliting training regarding effective measures to prevent and address sex and gentumental discrimination and sexual misconduct.

## CARE TEAM

The CARE team is a multidisciplinary team mapped members from across campulsat provides a proactive and supportive approach to prevention, assess, an each intervention for circumstances that may interfere with students or employees functioning to their full potential. The team meets every otherweek to review and assess cases.

## ACCESSIBILITY COMMITTEE

The Accessibility Committee is an interdisciplinary team designedstore WSU continues to provide accommodations enabling student success in all disciplifies. Committee meets monthly to review ongoing accessibility needs, review policies training. ] TJS447ET Q q 0.00000912